

ON TOTAL MEAN CURVATURES OF FOLIATED HALF-LIGHTLIKE SUBMANIFOLDS IN SEMI-RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

FORTUNÉ MASSAMBA*, SAMUEL SSEKAJJA**

ABSTRACT. We derive total mean curvature integration formulae of a three co-dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F}^n$ on a screen integrable half-lightlike submanifold, M^{n+1} in a semi-Riemannian manifold $\overline{M}^{n+3}$. We give generalized differential equations relating to mean curvatures of a totally umbilical half-lightlike submanifold admitting a totally umbilical screen distribution, and show that they are generalizations of those given by [4].

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapidly growing importance of lightlike submanifolds in semi-Riemannian geometry, particularly Lorentzian geometry, and their applications to mathematical physics—like in general relativity and electromagnetism motivated the study of lightlike geometry in semi-Riemannian manifolds. More precisely, lightlike submanifolds have been shown to represent different black hole horizons (see [3] and [4] for details). Among other motivations for investing in lightlike geometry by many physicists is the idea that the universe we are living in can be viewed as a 4-dimensional hypersurface embedded in $(4 + m)$ -dimensional spacetime manifold, where m is any arbitrary integer. There are significant differences between lightlike geometry and Riemannian geometry as shown in [3] and [4], and many more references therein. Some of the pioneering work on this topic is due to Duggal-Bejancu [3], Duggal-Sahin [4] and Kupeli [13]. It is upon those books that many other researchers, including but not limited to [2], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], have extended their theories.

Lightlike geometry rests on a number of operators, like shape and algebraic invariants derived from them, such as trace, determinants, and in general the r -th mean curvature S_r . There is a great deal of work so far on the case $r = 1$ (see some in [3], [4] and many more) and as far as we know, very little has been done for the case $r > 1$. This is partly due to the non-linearity of S_r for $r > 1$, and hence very complicated to study. A great deal of research on higher order mean curvatures S_r in Riemannian geometry has been done with numerous applications, for instance see [1] and [12]. This gap has motivated our introduction of lightlike geometry of S_r for $r > 1$. In this paper we have considered a half-lightlike submanifold admitting an integrable screen distribution, of a semi-Riemannian manifold. On it we

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C25; Secondary 53C40, 53C50.

Key words and phrases. Half-lightlike submanifolds; Newton transformation, foliation and mean curvature.

have focused on a codimension 3 foliation of its screen distribution and thus derived integral formulae of its total mean curvatures (see Theorems 4.9 and 4.10). Furthermore, we have considered totally umbilical half-lightlike submanifolds, with a totally umbilical screen distribution and generalized Theorem 4.3.7 of [4] (see Theorem 5.2 and its Corollaries). The paper is organized as follows; In section 2 we summarize the basic notions on lightlike geometry necessary for other sections. In section 3 we give some basic information on Newton transformations of a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of the screen distribution. Section 4 focuses on integration formulae of $\mathcal{F}$ and their consequences. In section 5 we discuss screen umbilical half-lightlike submanifolds and generalizations of some well-known results of [4].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let (M^{n+1}, g) be a two-co-dimensional submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\overline{M}^{n+3}, \overline{g})$, where $g = \overline{g}|_{TM}$. The submanifold (M^{n+1}, g) is called a *half-lightlike* if the radical distribution $\text{Rad } TM = TM \cap TM^\perp$ is a vector subbundle of the tangent bundle TM and the normal bundle $TM^\perp$ of M , with rank one. Let $S(TM)$ be a *screen distribution* which is a semi-Riemannian complementary distribution of $\text{Rad } TM$ in TM , and also choose a *screen transversal bundle* $S(TM^\perp)$, which is semi-Riemannian and complementary to $\text{Rad } TM$ in $TM^\perp$. Then,

$$TM = \text{Rad } TM \perp S(TM), \quad TM^\perp = \text{Rad } TM \perp S(TM^\perp). \quad (2.1)$$

We will denote by $\Gamma(\Xi)$ the set of smooth sections of the vector bundle Ξ . It is well-known from [3] and [4] that for any null section E of $\text{Rad } TM$, there exists a unique null section N of the orthogonal complement of $S(TM^\perp)$ in $S(TM)^\perp$ such that $g(E, N) = 1$, it follows that there exists a lightlike *transversal vector bundle* $\text{ltr}(TM)$ locally spanned by N . Let $W \in \Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$ be a unit vector field, then $\overline{g}(N, N) = \overline{g}(N, Z) = \overline{g}(N, W) = 0$, for any $Z \in \Gamma(S(TM))$.

Let $\text{tr}(TM)$ be complementary (but not orthogonal) vector bundle to TM in $\overline{TM}$. Then we have the following decompositions of $\text{tr}(TM)$ and $\overline{TM}$

$$\text{tr}(TM) = \text{ltr}(TM) \perp S(TM^\perp), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\overline{TM} = S(TM) \perp S(TM^\perp) \perp \{\text{Rad } TM \oplus \text{ltr}(TM)\}. \quad (2.3)$$

It is important to note that the distribution $S(TM)$ is not unique, and is canonically isomorphic to the factor vector bundle $TM/\text{Rad } TM$ [3]. Let P be the projection of TM on to $S(TM)$. Then the local Gauss-Weingarten equations of M are the following;

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y)N + D(X, Y)W, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \tau(X)N + \rho(X)W, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_X W = -A_W X + \phi(X)N, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\nabla_X PY = \nabla_X^* PY + C(X, PY)E, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\nabla_X E = -A_E^* X - \tau(X)E, \quad (2.8)$$

for all $E \in \Gamma(\text{Rad } TM)$, $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$ and $W \in \Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$, where ∇ and ∇^* are induced linear connections on TM and $S(TM)$, respectively, B and D are called the local second fundamental forms of M , C is the local second fundamental form on $S(TM)$. Furthermore, $\{A_N, A_W\}$ and A_E^* are the shape operators on TM and $S(TM)$ respectively, and τ , ρ , ϕ and δ are differential 1-forms on TM . Notice that ∇^* is a metric connection on $S(TM)$ while ∇ is generally not a metric connection. In fact, ∇ satisfies the following relation

$$(\nabla_X g)(Y, Z) = B(X, Y)\lambda(Z) + B(X, Z)\lambda(Y), \quad (2.9)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$, where λ is a 1-form on TM given $\lambda(\cdot) = \bar{g}(\cdot, N)$. It is well-known from [3] and [4] that B and D are independent of the choice of $S(TM)$ and they satisfy

$$B(X, E) = 0, \quad D(X, E) = \phi(X), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM). \quad (2.10)$$

The local second fundamental forms B , D and C are related to their shape operators by the following equations

$$g(A_E^* X, Y) = B(X, Y), \quad \bar{g}(A_E^* X, N) = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

$$g(A_W X, Y) = \varepsilon D(X, Y) + \phi(X)\lambda(Y), \quad (2.12)$$

$$g(A_N X, PY) = C(X, PY), \quad \bar{g}(A_N X, N) = 0, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\bar{g}(A_W X, N) = \varepsilon \rho(X), \quad \text{where } \varepsilon = \bar{g}(W, W), \quad (2.14)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. From equations (2.11) we deduce that A_E^* is $S(TM)$ -valued, self-adjoint and satisfies $A_E^* E = 0$. Let $\bar{R}$ denote the curvature tensor of $\bar{M}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(\bar{R}(X, Y)PZ, N) &= g((\nabla_X A_N)Y, PZ) - g((\nabla_Y A_N)X, PZ) \\ &\quad + \tau(Y)C(X, PZ) - \varepsilon \tau(X)C(Y, PZ)\{\rho(Y)D(X, PZ) \\ &\quad - \rho(X)D(Y, PZ)\}, \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

A half-lightlike submanifold (M, g) of a semi-Riemannian manifold $\bar{M}$ is said to be totally umbilical [4] if on each coordinate neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ there exist smooth functions $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ on $\text{ltr}(TM)$ and $S(TM^\perp)$ respect such that

$$B(X, Y) = \mathcal{H}_1 g(X, Y), \quad D(X, Y) = \mathcal{H}_2 g(X, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM). \quad (2.16)$$

Furthermore, when M is totally umbilical then the following relations follows by straightforward calculations

$$A_E^* X = \mathcal{H}_1 P X, \quad P(A_W X) = \varepsilon \mathcal{H}_2 P X, \quad D(X, E) = 0, \quad \rho(E) = 0, \quad (2.17)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Next, we suppose that M is a half-lightlike submanifold of $\bar{M}$, with an integrable screen distribution $S(TM)$. Let M' be a leaf of $S(TM)$. Notice that for any screen integrable half-lightlike M , the leaf M' of $S(TM)$ is a co-dimension 3 submanifold of $\bar{M}$ whose normal bundle is $\{\text{Rad } TM \oplus \text{ltr}(TM)\} \perp S(TM^\perp)$. Now, using (2.4) and (2.7) we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X^* Y + C(X, PY)E + B(X, Y)N + D(X, Y)W, \quad (2.18)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM')$. Since $S(TM)$ is integrable, then its leave is semi-Riemannian and hence we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X^{*'} Y + h'(X, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM'), \quad (2.19)$$

where h' and $\nabla^{*'}$ are second fundamental form and the Levi-Civita connection of M' in $\overline{M}$. From (2.18) and (2.19) we can see that

$$h'(X, Y) = C(X, PY)E + B(X, Y)N + D(X, Y)W, \quad (2.20)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM')$. Since $S(TM)$ is integrable, then it is well-known from [4] that C is symmetric on $S(TM)$ and also A_N is self-adjoint on $S(TM)$ (see Theorem 4.1.2 for details). Thus, h' given by (2.20) is symmetric on TM' .

Let $L \in \Gamma(\{\text{Rad } TM \oplus \text{ltr}(TM)\} \perp S(TM^\perp))$, then we can decompose L as

$$L = aE + bN + cW, \quad (2.21)$$

for non-vanishing smooth functions on $\overline{M}$ given by $a = \overline{g}(L, N)$, $b = \overline{g}(L, E)$ and $c = \varepsilon \overline{g}(L, W)$. Suppose that $\overline{g}(L, L) > 0$, then using (2.21) we obtain a unit normal vector $\widehat{W}$ to M' given by

$$\widehat{W} = \frac{1}{\overline{g}(L, L)}(aE + bN + cW) = \frac{1}{\overline{g}(L, L)}L. \quad (2.22)$$

Next we define a (1,1) tensor $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}$ in terms of the operators A_E^* , A_N and A_W by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}X = \frac{1}{\overline{g}(L, L)}(aA_E^*X + bA_NX + cA_WX), \quad (2.23)$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. Notice that $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}$ is self-adjoint on $S(TM)$. Applying $\overline{\nabla}_X$ to $\widehat{W}$ and using equations (2.23) (2.4) and (2.11)-(2.13), we have

$$g(\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}X, PY) = -\overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_X \widehat{W}, PY), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM). \quad (2.24)$$

Let $\nabla^{*\perp}$ be the connection on the normal bundle $\{\text{Rad } TM \oplus \text{ltr}(TM)\} \perp S(TM^\perp)$. Then from (2.24) we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_X \widehat{W} = -\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}X + \nabla_X^{*\perp} \widehat{W}, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM), \quad (2.25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X^{*\perp} \widehat{W} = & -\frac{1}{\overline{g}(L, L)}X(\overline{g}(L, L))\widehat{W} + \frac{1}{\overline{g}(L, L)}[\{X(a) - a\tau(X)\}E \\ & + \{X(b) + b\tau(X) + c\phi(X)\}N + \{X(c) + aD(X, E) + b\rho(X)\}W]. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.1. Let $\overline{M} = (\mathbb{R}_1^5, \overline{g})$ be a semi-Riemannian manifold, where $\overline{g}$ is of signature $(-, +, +, +, +)$ with respect to canonical basis $(\partial x_1, \partial x_2, \partial x_3, \partial x_4, \partial x_5)$, where $(x_1, \dots, x_5)$ are the usual coordinates on $\overline{M}$. Let M be a submanifold of $\overline{M}$ and given parametrically by the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 = \varphi_1, \quad x_2 = \sin \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_3, \quad x_3 = \varphi_1, \quad x_4 = \cos \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_3, \\ x_5 = \cos \varphi_3, \quad \text{where } \varphi_2 \in [0, 2\pi] \text{ and } \varphi_3 \in (0, \pi/2). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $TM = \text{span}\{E, Z_1, Z_2\}$ and $\text{ltr}(TM) = \text{span}\{N\}$, where

$$E = \partial x_1 + \partial x_3, \quad Z_1 = \cos \varphi_3 \partial x_2 - \sin \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_3 \partial x_5,$$

$$Z_2 = \cos \varphi_3 \partial x_4 - \cos \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_3 \partial x_5 \quad \text{and} \quad N = \frac{1}{2}(-\partial x_1 + \partial x_3).$$

Also, by straightforward calculations, we have

$$W = \sin \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_3 \partial x_2 + \cos \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_3 \partial x_4 + \cos \varphi_3 \partial x_5.$$

Thus, $S(TM^\perp) = \text{span}\{W\}$ and hence M is a half-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}$. Furthermore we have $[Z_1, Z_2] = \cos \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_3 \partial x_2 - \sin \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_3 \partial x_4$, which leads to $[Z_1, Z_2] = \cos \varphi_2 \tan \varphi_3 Z_1 - \sin \varphi_2 \tan \varphi_3 Z_2 \in \Gamma(S(TM))$. Thus, M is a screen integrable half-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}$. Finally, it is easy to see that A_N is self-adjoint operator on $S(TM)$.

In the next sections we shall consider screen integrable half-lightlike submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifold $\overline{M}$ and derive special integral formulae for a foliation of $S(TM)$, whose normal vector is $\widehat{W}$ and with shape operator $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}$.

3. NEWTON TRANSFORMATIONS OF $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}$

Let $(\overline{M}^{m+3}, \overline{g})$ be a semi-Riemannian manifold and let (M^{n+1}, g) be a screen integrable half-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}$. Then $S(TM)$ admits a foliation and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a such foliation. Then, the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are co-dimension three submanifolds of $\overline{M}$, whose normal bundle is $S(TM)^\perp$. Let $\widehat{W}$ be unit normal vector to $\mathcal{F}$ such that the orientation of $\overline{M}$ coincides with that given by $\mathcal{F}$ and $\widehat{W}$. The Levi-Civita connection $\overline{\nabla}$ on the tangent bundle of $\overline{M}$ induces a metric connection ∇' on $\mathcal{F}$. Furthermore, h' and $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}$ are the second fundamental form and shape operator of $\mathcal{F}$. Notice that $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}$ is self-adjoint on $T\mathcal{F}$ and at each point $p \in \mathcal{F}$ has n real eigenvalues (or principal curvatures) $\kappa_1(p), \dots, \kappa_n(p)$. Attached to the shape operator $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}$ are n algebraic invariants

$$S_r = \sigma_r(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n), \quad 1 \leq r \leq n,$$

where $\sigma_r : M'^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are symmetric functions given by

$$\sigma_r(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n} \kappa_{i_1} \dots \kappa_{i_r}. \quad (3.1)$$

Then, the characteristic polynomial of $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}$ is given by

$$\det(\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}} - t\mathbb{I}) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^n (-1)^\alpha S_r t^{n-\alpha},$$

where $\mathbb{I}$ is the identity in $\Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$. The normalized r -th mean curvature H_r of M' is defined by

$$H_r = \binom{n}{r}^{-1} S_r \quad \text{and} \quad H_0 = 1. \quad (\text{a constant function } 1).$$

In particular, when $r = 1$ then $H_1 = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}})$ which is the *mean curvature* of a $\mathcal{F}$. On the other hand, H_2 relates directly with the (intrinsic) scalar curvature of $\mathcal{F}$. Moreover, the functions S_r (H_r respectively) are smooth on the whole M and, for any point $p \in \mathcal{F}$, S_r coincides with the r -th mean curvature at p . In this paper, we shall use S_r instead of H_r .

Next, we introduce the Newton transformations with respect to the operator $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}$. The Newton transformations $T_r : \Gamma(T\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$ of a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of a screen integrable half-lightlike submanifold M of an $(n+3)$ -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold $\overline{M}$ with respect to $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}$ are given by the inductive formula

$$T_0 = \mathbb{I}, \quad T_r = (-1)^r S_r \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}} \circ T_{r-1}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq n. \quad (3.2)$$

By Cayley-Hamilton theorem, we have $T_n = 0$. Moreover, T_r are also self-adjoint and commutes with $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}$. Furthermore, the following algebraic properties of T_r are well-known (see [1], [12] and references therein for details).

$$\text{tr}(T_r) = (-1)^r (n - r) S_r, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\text{tr}(\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}} \circ T_r) = (-1)^r (r + 1) S_{r+1}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\text{tr}(\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}^2 \circ T_r) = (-1)^{r+1} (-S_1 S_{r+1} + (r + 2) S_{r+2}), \quad (3.5)$$

$$\text{tr}(T_r \circ \nabla'_X \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}) = (-1)^r X(S_{r+1}) = (-1)^r \overline{g}(\nabla' S_{r+1}, X), \quad (3.6)$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$. We will also need the following divergence formula for the operators T_r

$$\text{div}^{\nabla'}(T_r) = \text{tr}(\nabla' T_r) = \sum_{\beta=1}^n (\nabla'_{Z_\beta} T_r) Z_\beta, \quad (3.7)$$

where $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_n\}$ is a local orthonormal frame field of $T\mathcal{F}$.

4. INTEGRATION FORMULAE FOR $\mathcal{F}$

This section is devoted to derivation of integral formulas of foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of $S(TM)$ with a unit normal vector $\widehat{W}$ given by (2.22). By the fact that $\overline{\nabla}$ is a metric connection then $\overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}, \widehat{W}) = 0$. This implies that the vector field $\overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}$ is always tangent to $\mathcal{F}$. Our main goal will be to compute the divergence of the vectors $T_r \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}$ and $T_r \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W} + (-1)^r S_{r+1} \widehat{W}$. The following technical lemmas are fundamentally important to this paper. Let $\{E, Z_i, N, W\}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$ be a quasi-orthonormal field of frame of $T\overline{M}$, such that $S(TM) = \text{span}\{Z_i\}$ and $\epsilon_i = \overline{g}(Z_i, Z_i)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let M be a screen integrable half-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}^{n+3}$ and let M' be a foliation of $S(TM)$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}$ be its shape operator, where $\widehat{W}$ is a unit normal vector to $\mathcal{F}$. Then*

$$\overline{g}((\nabla'_X \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}})Y, Z) = \overline{g}(Y, (\nabla'_X \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}})Z), \quad \overline{g}((\nabla'_X T_r)Y, Z) = \overline{g}(Y, (\nabla'_X T_r)Z),$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$.

Proof. By simple calculations we have

$$\bar{g}((\nabla'_X \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}})Y, Z) = \bar{g}(\nabla'_X (\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}Y), Z) - \bar{g}(\nabla'_X Y, \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}Z). \quad (4.1)$$

Using the fact that ∇' is a metric connection and the symmetry of $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}$, (4.1) gives

$$\bar{g}((\nabla'_X \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}})Y, Z) = \bar{g}(Y, \nabla'_X (\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}Z)) - \bar{g}(Y, \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}(\nabla'_X Z)). \quad (4.2)$$

Then, from (4.2) we deduce the first relation of the lemma. A proof of the second relation follows in the same way, which completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *Let M be a screen integrable half-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a co-dimension three foliation of $S(TM)$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}$ be its shape operator, where $\widehat{W}$ is a unit normal vector to $\mathcal{F}$. Denote by $\overline{R}$ the curvature tensor of $\overline{M}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(T_0) &= 0, \\ \operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(T_r) &= \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}} \operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(T_{r-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i (\overline{R}(\widehat{W}, T_{r-1}Z_i)Z_i)', \end{aligned}$$

where $(\overline{R}(\widehat{W}, X)Z)'$ denotes the tangential component of $\overline{R}(\widehat{W}, X)Z$ for $X, Z \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$. Equivalently, for any $Y \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$ then

$$\bar{g}(\operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(T_r), Y) = \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \bar{g}(\overline{R}(T_{r-1}Z_i, \widehat{W})(-\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}})^{j-1}Y, Z_i). \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. The first equation of the lemma is obvious since $T_0 = \mathbb{I}$. We turn to the second relation. By direct calculations using the recurrence relation (3.2) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(T_r) &= (-1)^r \operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(S_r \mathbb{I}) + \operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}} \circ T_{r-1}) \\ &= (-1)^r \nabla' S_r + \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}} \operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(T_{r-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i (\nabla'_{Z_i} \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}) T_{r-1} Z_i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Using Codazzi equation

$$\bar{g}(\overline{R}(X, Y)Z, \widehat{W}) = \bar{g}((\nabla'_Y \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}})X, Z) - \bar{g}((\nabla'_X \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}})Y, Z),$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$ and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}((\nabla'_{Z_i} \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}})Y, T_{r-1}Z_i) &= \bar{g}((\nabla'_Y \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}})Z_i, T_{r-1}Z_i) + \bar{g}(\overline{R}(Y, Z_i)T_{r-1}Z_i, \widehat{W}) \\ &= \bar{g}(T_{r-1}(\nabla'_Y \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}})Z_i, Z_i) + \bar{g}(\overline{R}(\widehat{W}, T_{r-1}Z_i)Z_i, Y), \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

for any $Y \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$. Then applying (4.4) and (4.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(\operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(T_r), Y) &= (-1)^r \bar{g}(\nabla' S_r, Y) + \operatorname{tr}(T_{r-1}(\nabla'_Y \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}})) \\ &\quad + \bar{g}(\operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(T_{r-1}), Y) + \bar{g}(Y, \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \overline{R}(\widehat{W}, T_{r-1}Z_i)Z_i). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Then, applying (4.6) and (3.6) we get the second equation of the lemma. Finally, (4.3) follows immediately by an induction argument. $\square$

Notice that when the ambient manifold is a space form of constant sectional curvature, then $(\overline{R}(\widehat{W}, X)Y)' = 0$ for each $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$. Hence, from Lemma (4.2) we have $\text{div}^{\nabla'}(T_r) = 0$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let M be a screen integrable half-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a co-dimension three foliation of $S(TM)$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}$ be its shape operator, where $\widehat{W}$ is a unit normal vector to $\mathcal{F}$. Let $\{Z_i\}$ be a local field such $(\nabla'_X Z_i)p = 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and any vector field $X \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$. Then at $p \in \mathcal{F}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla'_{Z_i} \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}, Z_j) &= g(\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}^2 Z_i, Z_j) - \overline{g}(\overline{R}(Z_i, \widehat{W}) Z_j, \widehat{W}) \\ &\quad - \overline{g}((\nabla'_{\widehat{W}} \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}) Z_i, Z_j) + g(\overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}, Z_i) g(Z_j, \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying $\overline{\nabla}_{Z_i}$ to $g(\overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}, Z_j)$ and $\overline{g}(\widehat{W}, \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} Z_j)$ in turn and then using the two resulting equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}, \overline{\nabla}_{Z_i} Z_j) &= g(\overline{\nabla}_{Z_i} \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}, Z_j) + \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{Z_i} \widehat{W}, \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} Z_j) \\ &\quad + \overline{g}(\widehat{W}, \overline{\nabla}_{Z_i} \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} Z_j). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Furthermore, by direct calculations using $(\nabla'_X Z_i)p = 0$ we have

$$\overline{g}((\nabla'_{\widehat{W}} \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}) Z_i, Z_j) = \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}, \overline{\nabla}_{Z_i} Z_j) + \overline{g}(\widehat{W}, \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \overline{\nabla}_{Z_i} Z_j),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}^2 Z_i, Z_j) - \overline{g}(\overline{R}(Z_i, \widehat{W}) Z_j, \widehat{W}) - \overline{g}((\nabla'_{\widehat{W}} \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}) Z_i, Z_j) \\ = g(\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}^2 Z_i, Z_j) - \overline{g}(\overline{R}(Z_i, \widehat{W}) Z_j, \widehat{W}) \\ - \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}, \overline{\nabla}_{Z_i} Z_j) - \overline{g}(\widehat{W}, \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \overline{\nabla}_{Z_i} Z_j) \\ = g(\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}^2 Z_i, Z_j) - \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{Z_i} Z_j, \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}) \\ - \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{Z_i} \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} Z_j, \widehat{W}) + \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{[Z_i, \widehat{W}]} Z_j, \widehat{W}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Now, applying (4.7), the condition at p and the following relations

$$\overline{\nabla}_{Z_i} \widehat{W} = \sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon_k \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{Z_i} \widehat{W}, Z_k) Z_k, \quad \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} Z_j = \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} Z_j, \widehat{W}) \widehat{W},$$

and $g(\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}^2 Z_i, Z_j) = -\sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon_k \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{Z_i} \widehat{W}, Z_k) \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{Z_k} Z_j, \widehat{W})$ to the last line of (4.8) and the fact that $S(TM)$ is integrable we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}^2 Z_i, Z_j) - \overline{g}(\overline{R}(Z_i, \widehat{W}) Z_j, \widehat{W}) - \overline{g}((\nabla'_{\widehat{W}} \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}) Z_i, Z_j) \\ = g(\nabla'_{Z_i} \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}, Z_j) - g(\overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}, Z_i) g(Z_j, \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}), \end{aligned}$$

from which the lemma follows by rearrangement. $\square$

Notice that, using parallel transport, we can always construct a frame field from the above lemma.

Proposition 4.4. *Let M be a screen integrable half-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite almost contact manifold $\overline{M}$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of $S(TM)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(T_r \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}) &= \overline{g}(\operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(T_r), \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}) + (-1)^{r+1} \widehat{W}(S_{r+1}) \\ &+ (-1)^{r+1} (-S_1 S_{r+1} + (r+2) S_{r+2}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \overline{g}(\overline{R}(Z_i, \widehat{W}) T_r Z_i, \widehat{W}) \\ &+ \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}, T_r \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\{Z_i\}$ is a field of frame tangent to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof. From (3.7), we deduce that

$$\operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(T_r Z) = \overline{g}(\operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(T_r), Z) + \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \overline{g}(\nabla'_{Z_i} Z, T_r Z_i), \quad (4.9)$$

for all $Z \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$. Then using (4.9), Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, we obtain the desired result. Hence the proof. $\square$

From Proposition 4.4 we have

Theorem 4.5. *Let M be a screen integrable half-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite almost contact manifold $\overline{M}$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a co-dimension three foliation of $S(TM)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}^{\overline{\nabla}}(T_r \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}) &= \overline{g}(\operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(T_r), \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}) + (-1)^{r+1} \widehat{W}(S_{r+1}) \\ &+ (-1)^{r+1} (-S_1 S_{r+1} + (r+2) S_{r+2}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \overline{g}(\overline{R}(Z_i, \widehat{W}) T_r Z_i, \widehat{W}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. A proof follows easily from Proposition 4.4 by recognizing the fact that

$$\operatorname{div}^{\overline{\nabla}}(T_r \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}) = \operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(T_r \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}) - \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}, T_r \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}),$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 4.6. *Let M be a screen integrable half-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a co-dimension three foliation of $S(TM)$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}^{\overline{\nabla}}(T_r \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W} + (-1)^r S_{r+1} \widehat{W}) &= \overline{g}(\operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(T_r), \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}) \\ &+ (-1)^{r+1} (r+2) S_{r+2} - \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \overline{g}(\overline{R}(Z_i, \widehat{W}) T_r Z_i, \widehat{W}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By straightforward calculations we have

$$S_1 = \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}}) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{Z_i} \widehat{W}, Z_i) = - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \epsilon_i \overline{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{Z_i} \widehat{W}, Z_i) = - \operatorname{div}^{\overline{\nabla}}(\widehat{W}),$$

where $Z_{n+1} = \widehat{W}$. From this equation we deduce

$$\operatorname{div}^{\overline{\nabla}}(S_{r+1} \widehat{W}) = -S_1 S_{r+1} + \widehat{W}(S_{r+1}). \quad (4.10)$$

Then from (4.10) and Theorem 4.5 we get our assertion, hence the proof. $\square$

Next, we let dV denote the volume form $\overline{M}$. Then from Theorem 4.6 we

Corollary 4.7. *Let M be a screen integrable half-lightlike submanifold of a compact semi-Riemannian manifold $\overline{M}$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a co-dimension three foliation of $S(TM)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\overline{M}} \overline{g}(\operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(T_r), \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}) dV \\ &= \int_{\overline{M}} ((-1)^r (r+2) S_{r+2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \overline{g}(\overline{R}(Z_i, \widehat{W}) T_r Z_i, \widehat{W})) dV \end{aligned}$$

Setting $r = 0$ in the above corollary we get

Corollary 4.8. *Let M be a screen integrable half-lightlike submanifold of a compact semi-Riemannian manifold $\overline{M}$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a co-dimension three foliation of $S(TM)$ with mean curvatures S_r . Then for $r = 0$ we have*

$$\int_{\overline{M}} 2S_2 dV = \int_{\overline{M}} \overline{Ric}(\widehat{W}, \widehat{W}) dV,$$

$$\text{where } \overline{Ric}(\widehat{W}, \widehat{W}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \overline{g}(\overline{R}(Z_i, \widehat{W}) \widehat{W}, Z_i).$$

Notice that the equation in Corollary 4.8 is the lightlike analogue of (3.5) in [1] for co-dimension one foliations on Riemannian manifolds.

Next, we will discuss some consequences of the integral formulas developed so far.

A semi-Riemannian manifold $\overline{M}$ of constant sectional curvature c is called a *semi-Riemannian space form* [3, 4] and is denoted by $\overline{M}(c)$. Then, the curvature tensor $\overline{R}$ of $\overline{M}(c)$ is given by

$$\overline{R}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = c\{\overline{g}(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})\overline{X} - \overline{g}(\overline{X}, \overline{Z})\overline{Y}\}, \quad \forall \overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z} \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}). \quad (4.11)$$

Theorem 4.9. *Let M be a screen integrable half-lightlike submanifold of a compact semi-Riemannian space form $\overline{M}(c)$ of constant sectional curvature c . Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a co-dimension three foliation of its screen distribution $S(TM)$. If V is the total volume of $\overline{M}$, then*

$$\int_{\overline{M}} S_r dV = \begin{cases} 0, & r = 2k + 1, \\ c^{\frac{r}{2}} \left(\frac{n}{2}\right) V, & r = 2k, \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

for positive integers k .

Proof. By setting $\overline{X} = Z_i$, $\overline{Y} = \widehat{W}$ and $\overline{Z} = T_r Z_i$ in (4.11) we deduce that $\overline{R}(Z_i, \widehat{W}) T_r Z_i = -c \overline{g}(Z_i, T_r Z_i) \widehat{W}$. Then substituting this equation in Corollary 4.7 we obtain

$$\int_{\overline{M}} \overline{g}(\operatorname{div}^{\nabla'}(T_r), \overline{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}) dV = \int_{\overline{M}} ((-1)^r (r+2) S_{r+2} - \operatorname{ctr}(T_r)) dV.$$

Since $\overline{M}$ is of constant sectional curvature c , then Lemma 4.2 implies that $T_r = 0$ for any r and hence the above equation simplifies to

$$(r+2) \int_{\overline{M}} S_{r+2} dV = c(n-r) \int_{\overline{M}} S_r dV. \quad (4.13)$$

Since $S_1 = -\operatorname{div} \widehat{\nabla}(\widehat{W})$ and that $\overline{M}$ is compact, then $\int_{\overline{M}} S_1 dV = 0$. Using this fact together with (4.13), mathematical induction gives $\int_{\overline{M}} S_r dV = 0$ for all $r = 2k+1$ (i.e., r odd). For r even we will consider $r = 2m$ and $n = 2l$ (i.e., both M and $\overline{M}$ are odd dimensional). With these conditions, (4.13) reduces to

$$\int_{\overline{M}} S_{2m+2} dV = c \frac{l-m}{1+m} \int_{\overline{M}} S_{2m} dV. \quad (4.14)$$

Now setting $m = 0, 1, \dots$ and $S_0 = 1$ in (4.14) we obtain

$$\int_{\overline{M}} S_2 dV = clV, \quad \int_{\overline{M}} S_4 dV = c^2 \frac{(l-1)l}{2} V,$$

and more generally

$$\int_{\overline{M}} S_{2k} dV = c^k \frac{(l-k+1)(l-k+2)(l-k+3) \cdots l}{k!} V. \quad (4.15)$$

Hence, our assertion follows from 4.15, which completes the proof. $\square$

Next, when $\overline{M}$ is Einstein i.e., $\overline{Ric} = \mu \overline{g}$ we have the following.

Theorem 4.10. *Let M be a screen integrable half-lightlike submanifold of an Einstein compact semi-Riemannian manifold $\overline{M}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a co-dimension three foliation of its screen distribution $S(TM)$ with totally umbilical leaves. If V is the total volume of $\overline{M}$, then*

$$\int_{\overline{M}} S_r dV = \begin{cases} 0, & r = 2k+1, \\ \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{\frac{n}{2}}{\frac{r}{2}}\right) V, & r = 2k, \end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$

for positive integers k .

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{W}} = \frac{1}{n} S_r \mathbb{I}$. Then by direct calculations using the formula for T_r we derive $T_r = (-1)^{r+1} \frac{(n-r)}{n} S_r \mathbb{I}$. Then, under the assumptions of the theorem we obtain $\overline{Ric}(\widehat{W}, \widehat{\nabla}_{\widehat{W}} \widehat{W}) = 0$ and $\overline{Ric}(\widehat{W}, \widehat{W}) = \mu$ and hence, Corollary 4.7 reduces to

$$n(r+2) \int_{\overline{M}} S_{r+2} dV = \lambda(n-r) \int_{\overline{M}} S_r dV. \quad (4.17)$$

Notice that (4.17) is similar to (4.13) and hence following similar steps as in the previous theorem we get $\int_{\overline{M}} S_r dV = 0$ for r odd and for r even we get

$$\int_{\overline{M}} S_{2k} dV = \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^k \frac{(l-k+1)(l-k+2)(l-k+3) \cdots l}{k!} V,$$

which complete the proof. $\square$

5. SCREEN UMBILICAL HALF-LIGHTLIKE SUBMANIFOLDS

In this section we consider totally umbilical half-lightlike submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifold, with a totally umbilical screen distribution and thus, give a generalized version of Theorem 4.3.7 of [4] and its Corollaries, via Newton transformations of the operator A_N .

A screen distribution $S(TM)$ of a half-lightlike submanifold M of a semi-Riemannian manifold $\overline{M}$ is said to be totally umbilical [4] if on any coordinate neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ there exist a function K such that

$$C(X, PY) = Kg(X, PY), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM). \quad (5.1)$$

In case $K = 0$, we say that $S(TM)$ is totally geodesic. Furthermore, if $S(TM)$ is totally umbilical then by straightforward calculations we have

$$A_N X = PX, \quad C(E, PX) = 0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM). \quad (5.2)$$

Let $\{E, Z_i\}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, be a quasi-orthonormal frame field of TM which diagonalizes A_N . Let $l_0, l_1, \dots, l_n$ be the respective eigenvalues (or principal curvatures). Then as before, the r -th mean curvature S_r is given by

$$S_r = \sigma_r(l_0, \dots, l_n) \quad \text{and} \quad S_0 = 1.$$

The characteristic polynomial of A_N is given by

$$\det(A_N - t\mathbb{I}) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^n (-1)^\alpha S_r t^{n-\alpha},$$

where $\mathbb{I}$ is the identity in $\Gamma(TM)$. The normalized r -th mean curvature H_r of M is defined by $\binom{n}{r} H_r = S_r$ and $H_0 = 1$. The Newton transformations $T_r : \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$ of A_N are given by the inductive formula

$$T_0 = \mathbb{I}, \quad T_r = (-1)^r S_r \mathbb{I} + A_N \circ T_{r-1}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq n. \quad (5.3)$$

By Cayley-Hamilton theorem, we have $T_{n+1} = 0$. Also, T_r satisfies the following properties.

$$\text{tr}(T_r) = (-1)^r (n+1-r) S_r, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\text{tr}(A_N \circ T_r) = (-1)^r (r+1) S_{r+1}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$\text{tr}(A_N^2 \circ T_r) = (-1)^{r+1} (-S_1 S_{r+1} + (r+2) S_{r+2}), \quad (5.6)$$

$$\text{tr}(T_r \circ \nabla_X A_N) = (-1)^r X(S_{r+1}). \quad (5.7)$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let (M, g) be a totally umbilical half-lightlike submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $\overline{M}$ of constant sectional curvature c . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} g(\operatorname{div}^\nabla(T_r), X) &= (-1)^{r-1} \lambda(X) E(S_r) - \tau(X) \operatorname{tr}(A_N \circ T_{r-1}) \\ &\quad - c \lambda(X) \operatorname{tr}(T_{r-1}) + g(\operatorname{div}^\nabla(T_{r-1}), A_N X) + g((\nabla_E A_N) T_{r-1} E, X) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \{ -\lambda(X) B(Z_i, A_N(T_{r-1} Z_i)) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \tau(Z_i) C(X, T_{r-1} Z_i) \{ \rho(X) D(Z_i, T_{r-1} Z_i) - \rho(Z_i) D(X, T_{r-1} Z_i) \} \}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Proof. From the recurrence relation (5.3), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} g(\operatorname{div}^\nabla(T_r), X) &= (-1)^r P X(S_r) + g((\nabla_E A_N) T_{r-1} E, X) \\ &\quad + g(\operatorname{div}^\nabla(T_{r-1}), A_N X) + \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i g((\nabla_{Z_i} A_N) T_{r-1} Z_i, X). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. But

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_{Z_i} A_N) T_{r-1} Z_i, X) &= g(T_{r-1} Z_i, (\nabla_{Z_i} A_N) X) + g(\nabla_{Z_i} A_N(T_{r-1} Z_i), X) \\ &\quad - g(\nabla_{Z_i}(A_N X), T_{r-1} Z_i) + g(A_N(\nabla_{Z_i} X), T_{r-1} Z_i) \\ &\quad - g(A_N(\nabla_{Z_i} T_{r-1} Z_i), X), \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. $\square$

Then applying (2.9) to (5.9) while considering the fact that A_N is screen-valued, we get

$$g((\nabla_{Z_i} A_N) T_{r-1} Z_i, X) = g(T_{r-1} Z_i, (\nabla_{Z_i} A_N) X) - \lambda(X) B(Z_i, A_N(T_{r-1} Z_i)) \quad (5.10)$$

Furthermore, using (2.15) and (4.11), the first term on the right hand side of (5.10) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} g(T_{r-1} Z_i, (\nabla_{Z_i} A_N) X) &= -c \lambda(X) g(Z_i, T_{r-1} Z_i) + g((\nabla_X A_N) Z_i, T_{r-1} Z_i) \\ &\quad - \tau(X) C(Z_i, T_{r-1} Z_i) + \varepsilon \tau(Z_i) C(X, T_{r-1} Z_i) \{ \rho(X) D(Z_i, T_{r-1} Z_i) \\ &\quad - \rho(X) D(X, T_{r-1} Z_i) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. Finally, replacing (5.11) in (5.10) and then put the resulting equation in (5.8) we get the desired result.

Next, from Proposition 5.1 we have the following.

Theorem 5.2. *Let (M, g) be a half-lightlike submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $\overline{M}(c)$ of constant curvature c , with a proper totally umbilical screen distribution $S(TM)$. If M is also totally umbilical, then the r -th mean curvature S_r , for $r = 0, 1, \dots, n$, with respect to A_N are solution of the following differential equation*

$$E(S_{r+1}) - \tau(E)(r+1)S_{r+1} - c(-1)^r(n+1-r)S_r = \mathcal{H}_1(r+1)S_{r+1}.$$

Proof. Replacing X with E in the Proposition 5.1 and then using (2.16) and (5.2) we obtain, for all $r = 0, 1, \dots, n$,

$$E(S_{r+1}) - (-1)^r \tau(E) \operatorname{tr}(A_N \circ T_r) - c(-1)^r \operatorname{tr}(T_r) = (-1)^r \mathcal{H}_1 \operatorname{tr}(A_N \circ T_r),$$

from which the result follows by applying (5.4) and (5.5). $\square$

Corollary 5.3. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 5.2, the induced connection ∇ on M is a metric connection, if and only if, the r -th mean curvature S_r with respect to A_N are solution of the following equation*

$$E(S_{r+1}) - \tau(E)(r+1)S_{r+1} - c(-1)^r(n+1-r)S_r = 0.$$

Also the following holds.

Corollary 5.4. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 5.2, $\overline{M}(c)$ is a semi-Euclidean space, if and only if, the r -th mean curvature S_r with respect to A_N are solution of the following equation*

$$E(S_{r+1}) - \tau(E)(r+1)S_{r+1} = \mathcal{H}_1(r+1)S_{r+1}.$$

Notice that Theorem 5.2 and Corollary 5.3 are generalizations of Theorem 4.3.7 and Corollary 4.3.8, respectively, given in [4].

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Andrzejewski and Pawe G. Walczak, The Newton transformation and new integral formulae for foliated manifolds, *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* 37 (2010), no. 2, 103-111.
- [2] C. Calin, Contributions to geometry of CR-submanifold, Ph.D. thesis, University of Iasi (Romania), 1998.
- [3] K. L. Duggal and A. Bejancu, Lightlike submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifolds and applications, *Mathematics and Its Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
- [4] K. L. Duggal and B. Sahin, Differential geometry of lightlike submanifolds. *Frontiers in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010.
- [5] K. L. Duggal and B. Sahin, Screen conformal half-lightlike submanifolds, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2004 (2004), no. 68, 3737-3753.
- [6] R. S. Gupta and A. Sharfuddin, Generalised Cauchy-Riemann Lightlike Submanifolds of Indefinite Kenmotsu Manifolds, *Note Mat.* 30 (2010) no. 2, 49-59.
- [7] D. H. Jin, Non-Existence of lightlike submanifolds of indefinite trans-Sasakian manifolds with non-metric θ -connections, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* 30 (2015), no. 1, 35-43.
- [8] F. Massamba, Totally contact umbilical lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite Sasakian manifolds, *Kodai Math. J.*, 31 (2008), 338-358.
- [9] F. Massamba, On semi-parallel lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds, *J. Geom.*, 95 (2009), 73-89.
- [10] F. Massamba and S. Ssekajja, Some remarks on quasi generalized CR-null geometry in indefinite nearly cosymplectic manifolds, *arXiv*: 1604.5436v3.
- [11] E. Yasar, A. C. Coken, and A. Yucesan, Lightlike hypersurfaces in semi-Riemannian manifold with semi-symmetric non-metric connection, *Math. Scand.* 102 (2008), no. 2, 253-264.
- [12] K. Andrzejewski, W. Kozłowski and K. Niedziałowski, Generalized Newton transformation and its applications to extrinsic geometry, *Asian J. Math.* 20 (2016), no. 2, 293-322.
- [13] D.N. Kupeli, Singular semi-Riemannian geometry, *Mathematics and Its Applications*, Vol. 366, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.

* SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF KWAZULU-NATAL
PRIVATE BAG X01, SCOTTSVILLE 3209
SOUTH AFRICA
E-mail address: massfort@yahoo.fr, Massamba@ukzn.ac.za

** SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF KWAZULU-NATAL
PRIVATE BAG X01, SCOTTSVILLE 3209
SOUTH AFRICA
E-mail address: ssekajja.samuel.buwaga@aims-senegal.org